

			P		SUM-100
	SUMMONS			R COURT USE ONLY ARA USO DE LA CORTEJ	
	(CITACION JUDICIAL)		ELECTRONIC	,	
NOTICE TO DEFENDAN			Superior Cou		
(AVISO AL DEMANDADO		P. P. 1 11 411	the week of the		
DOES 1-10, Inclusive	NITY SERVICES DISTRICT, a Ca	informia public entity	Darrel E. Parl		Officer
			7/2/2020 4:44		
YOU ARE BEING SUED I			₿y: Jazmine 1	leimori, Depu	tv
(LO ESTÁ DEMANDAND	O EL DEMANDANTE):			· ·	
CITY OF LOMPOC, a mur	licipal corporation				
NOTICEI You have been sued.	The court may decide against you without	your being heard unles	s you respond within :	30 days. Read the in	formation
served on the plantiff. A letter c case. There may be a court forr Online Self-Help Center (www.c the court clerk for a fee waiver f may be taken without further wa There are other legal required referral service. If you cannot al these nonprofit groups at the Cr (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp) costs on any settlement or arbit (AVISOI Lo han demandado. S continuación. Tiene 30 DIAS DE CALEND/ corle y hacer que se entregue u en formato legal correcto si des Puede encontrar estos formular biblioteca de leyes de su conda que le dé un formulario de exem podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y Hay otros requisitos legales. I remisión a abogados. Si no pue programa de servicios legales. A coalegio de abogados locales. Al cualquier recuperación de \$10,0	ments. You may want lo call an altorney rig ford an altorney, you may be eligible for fre alifornia Legal Services Web site (www.law , or by contacting your local court or county ration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil ci l no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte pu ARIO después de que le entreguen esta citu ina copia al demandante. Una carta o una r ea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es po fos de la corte y más información en el Cer do o en la corte que le quede más cerca. S pción de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su bienes sin más advertencia. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado de pagar a un abogado, es posible que cur in fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos gr el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de Califó VISO: Por ley, la corte liene derecho a reol. 200 ó más de valor recibida mediante un at intes de que la corte puede desechar el can	en response must be in can find these court forr library, or the courthouse e, you may lose the cas hi away. If you do not k ee legal services from a helpcalifornia.org), the (bar association. NOTE ase. The court's lien mu usede decidir en su conti actón y papeles legales liamada telefónica no lo psible que haya un form ntro de Ayude de las Co i no puede pagar la cuo respuesta a tiempo, pu immediatamente. Si no mpla con los requisitos upos sin fines de lucro i amar las cuotas y los cuerdo o una concesión	proper legal form If yons and more informat e nearest you. If you of se by default, and you now an attorney, you nonprofil legal servic. California Courts Onlik :: The court has a stal ist be paid before the ra sin escuchar su ver para presentar una no prolegen. Su respue ulario que usted pued ortes de California (ww ola de presentación, p ede perder el caso po conoce a un abogado para obtener servicio en el silio web de Cali gov) o ponténdose en psios exentos por imp de arbitraje en un ca	bu want the court to l lon at the California cannot pay the filing r wages, money, and may want to call an as program. You can be Self-Help Center utory lien for waived court will dismiss the rsión. Lea la informa espuesta por escrito sta por escrito tiene fa usar para su resp ww.sucorte.ca.gov), uti da al secretario de princumplimiento y l b, puede llamar a un s legales gratuitos du fornia Legal Service contacto con la cort	hear your Courts fee, ask d property altorney h locate l fees and e case. clón a en esta que estar uesta. en la la corte a corte la servicio de e un s, e o el cohre
(El nombre y dirección de la c			CASE NUMBER:	12225	
Santa Barbara Superior Co					
312-C East Cook St., Build Santa Maria, CA 93454	ing E				
(El nombre, la dirección y el n	(951)247	mandante, o del den 1-7338	tomey, is: nandante que no tie lazmine Teimo		, Deputy (Adjunto)
(For proof of service of this su	mmons, use Proof of Service of Sumn	nons (form POS-010)	1.)		di bahan sarang mgangang gu pana ana di
(Para prueba de entrega de en	sta citatión use el formulario Proof of S NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVI 1. as an individual defendant 2. as the person sued under 3. a on behalf of (specify): under: CCP 416.10 (corp CCP 416.20 (defender) CCP 416.40 (assection): 4. by personal delivery on (defender)	ED: You are served the fictitious name of SSI (W_H1)(boration) DISHE unct corporation) octation or partnershi 110,50 PW	f (specify): 5 (CMMM4V 5 - CA11 - CCP 410 - CCP 410 - CCP 410	MAN SERV 3.60 (minor) 3.70 (conservatee) 5.90 (authorized p	lices Sublict entitue erson)
Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California	SUMN	NONS	American LegalNet, Inc.	Code of Civil Procedure	Page 1 of 1 §§ 412.20, 465 courlinfo.ca.gov
SUM-100 [Rev. July 1, 2009]		4	www.FormsWorldlow.com		

		CM-010
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar ni		FOR COURT USE ONLY
Stephen R. Onstot, SBN 139319; Mich ALESHIRE & WYNDER, LLP	ielie E. Sassailo, SDN 232300	ELECTRONICALLY FILED
3880 Lemon Street, Suite 520	Superior Court of California	
Riverside, CA 92501		County of Santa Barbara
TELEPHONE NO.: (951) 241-7338	fax no.: (951) 300-0985	Darrel E. Parker, Executive Officer
ATTORNEY FOR (Namo): Plaintiff, CITY OF LOMF	· ·	7/2/2020 4:44 PM
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN		By: Jazmine Teimori, Deputy
STREET ADDRESS: 312-C East Cook Street,	Bldg. E	
MAILING ADDRESS:		
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Santa Maria, CA 93454		
BRANCH NAME: North County/Cook Divis		
CASE NAME: CITY OF LOMPOC V. MISSI	ON HILLS COMMUNITY SERVICE	S
DISTRICT, et al.		CASE NUMBER: DOCO VODOODE
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER: 20CV02225
(Amount (Amount	🔲 Counter 🔲 Joinder	
demanded demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defen	
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	
	elow must be completed (see instructio	ns on page 2).
1. Check one box below for the case type that Auto Tort		Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation
Auto Tort	Section Contract Breach of contract/warranty (06)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403)
Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)
Asbestos (04)	Other contract (37)	Securities Itligation (28)
Medical malpractice (45)	Real Property Eminent domain/Inverse	Environmental/Toxic tort (30) Insurance coverage claims arising from the
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	condemnation (14)	above listed provisionally complex case
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	types (41)
Business tort/unfair business practice (07)		Enforcement of Judgment Enforcement of judgment (20)
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
Defamation (13)	Commercial (31) Residential (32)	RICO (27)
Fraud (16) Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Professional negligence (25)	Judicial Review	Miscellaneous Civil Petition
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)	
		les of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
factors requiring exceptional judicial manag		er of witnesses
b. Extensive motion practice raising of		with related actions pending in one or more courts
issues that will be time-consuming		ties, states, or countries, or in a federal court
c. 🔲 Substantial amount of documentar	-	ostjudgment judicial supervision
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a.	🛛 monetary b. 🖾 nonmonetary; dec	aratory or injunctive relief c. 🔲 punitive
4. Number of causes of action (specify): 5		
5. This case 🔲 is 🔀 is not a class ac	tion suit.	
6. If there are any known related cases, file an	id serve a notice of related case. (You	may use form CM-015.)
Date: July 1, 2020	J.	L. R. Classific
Stephen R. Onstot		
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
 Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the fi under the Probate Code, Family Code, or V in sanctions. 	NOTICE rst paper filed in the action or proceedin Velfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Ru	ng (except small claims cases or cases filed les of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result
 File this cover sheet in addition to any cove If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et s 		u must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all
other parties to the action or proceeding.Unless this is a collections case under rule		
Earth Arthony I and Annalytics: Uno		
Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judiclaf Council of California CM-010 (Rov. July 1, 2007)	CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Cal, Rules of Courl, rules 2,30, 3,220, 3,400–3,403, 3,740; Cal. Standards of Judiciai Administration, std. 3,10 www.courtinto.cs.gov

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Partles in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following; (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3,740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in Items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

Breach of Contract/Warranty (06)

Breach of Rental/Lease

Contract

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

Contract (not unlawful detainer

Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence)

or wrongful eviction)

Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller

Negligent Breach of Contract/ Warranty

Auto Tort

Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto) Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tori Asbestos (04) Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos Personal Injury/ Wrongful Death Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) (24) Medical Malpractice (45) Medical Malpractice-Physicians & Surgeons Other Professional Health Care Malpractice Other PI/PD/WD (23) Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD (e.g., assault, vandalism) Intentional Infliction of **Emotional Distress** Negligent Infliction of **Emotional Distress** Other PI/PD/WD Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort Business Tort/Unfair Business Practice (07) Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) (not civil harassment) (08) Defamation (e.g., stander, libel) (13) Fraud (16) Intellectual Property (19) Professional Negligence (25) Legal Malpractice Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal) Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35) Employment Wrongful Termination (36) Other Employment (15)

Other Breach of Contract/Warranty Collections (e.g., money owed, open book accounts) (09) Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff Other Promissory Note/Collections Case Insurance Coverage (not provisionally complex) (18) Auto Subrogátion Other Coverage Other Contract (37) Contractual Fraud Other Contract Dispute Real Property Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14) Wrongful Eviction (33) Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26) Writ of Possession of Real Property Mortgage Foreclosure Quiel Title Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, or foreclosure) Unlawful Detainer Commercial (31) Residential (32) Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise, report as Commercial or Residential) Judicial Review Asset Forfeiture (05) Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11) Writ of Mandate (02) Writ-Administrative Mandamus Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter Writ-Other Limited Court Case Review Other Judicial Review (39) Review of Health Officer Order Notice of Appeal-Labor Commissioner Appeals **CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET**

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3,400-3,403) Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03) Construction Defect (10) Claims Involving Mass Tort (40) Securities Litigation (28) Environmental/Toxic Tort (30) Insurance Coverage Claims (arising from provisionally complex case type listed above) (41) Enforcement of Judgment Enforcement of Judgment (20) Abstract of Judgment (Out of County) Confession of Judgment (nondomestic relations) Sister State Judgment Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) Petition/Certification of Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Taxes Other Enforcement of Judgment Case **Miscellaneous Civil Complaint** RICO (27) Other Complaint (not specified above) (42) Declaratory Relief Only Injunctive Relief Only (nonharassment) Mechanics Lien Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex) Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex) Miscellaneous Civil Petition Partnership and Corporate Governance (21) Other Petition (not specified above) (43) Civil Harassment Workplace Violence Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Election Contest Petition for Name Change Petition for Relief From Late Claim Other Civil Petition

CM-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007]

American LegalNet, Inc. www.FormsWorkflow.com

Page 2 of 2

	Print Form	Clear Form
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (NAME AND ADDRESS): TELEPHONE NO.: Stephen R. Onstot, SBN 139319; Michelle E. Sassano, SBN 232368 ALESHIRE & WYNDER, LLP (951) 241-7338 3880 Lemon Street, Suite 520 Riverside, CA 92501 ATTORNEY FOR (NAME): Plaintiff, Clty of Lompoc SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA Santa Barbara-Anacapa Santa Maria-Cook Lompoc Division 1100 Anacapa Street 312-C East Cook Street 115 Civic Center Plaza Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Santa Maria, CA 93454 Lompoc, CA 93436	FOR COURT USE ONLY ELECTRONICALLY FILED Superior Court of California County of Santa Barbara Darrel E. Parker, Executive Office 7/2/2020 4:44 PM By: Jazmine Teimori, Deputy	
PLAINTIFF: CITY OF LOMPOC, a municipal corporation DEFENDANT: MISSION HILLS COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT, et al.		
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM	CASE NUMBER: 20CV02225	;

Santa Barbara County Superior Court Local Rule, rule 201 divides Santa Barbara County geographically into two separate regions referred to as "South County" and "North County," the boundaries of which are more particularly defined in rule 201. "South County" includes the cities of Carpinteria, Santa Barbara, and Goleta; "North County" includes the cities of Santa Maria, Lompoc, Buellton and Solvang. A map depicting this geographical division is contained in Appendix 1 to the local rules.

Local Rule 203 provides: "When, under California law, 'North County' would be a 'proper county' for venue purposes, all filings for such matters shall be in the appropriate division of the Clerk's office in North County. All other filings shall be made in the Clerk's office in the appropriate division of the Court in South County. The title of the Court required to be placed on the first page of documents pursuant to CRC 2.111 includes the name of the appropriate Court division."

A plaintiff filing a new complaint or petition is required by Local Rule 1310 to complete and file this Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum to state the basis for filing in North County or South County.

The undersigned represents to the Court:

This action is filed in ✓ North County South County because venue is proper in this region for the following reason(s):

The personal injury, damage to property, or breach of contract that is claimed in the complaint occurred in this region at: 1550 Burton Mesa Blvd., Lompoc, CA 93436

There is a related case filed with the court in this region (e.g., the related personal injury action to a petition to transfer structured settlement payments) [identify case, including case number]:

Venue is otherwise proper in this region because [explain]:

Dated: July 1, 2020

the R. C. with

· · · ·

Signature of Plaintiff or Plaintiff's Counsel

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Santa Barbara Superior Court SC-2069 [New July 2018]

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM

1 District (MHCSD) represented to the City that it had the necessary capacity and 2 infrastructure to provide water and wastewater services to the Burton Ranch Project. 3 Accordingly, the City and MHCSD entered into an Annexation Agreement Between 4 The City Of Lompoc And The Mission Hills Community Services District 5 (Agreement), whereby MHCSD would provide water and wastewater services to the 6 Project and the City would provide all other municipal services. A copy of the 7 Agreement is attached hereto as Exhibit A, and its provisions incorporated herein as though set forth in full. 8

9 2. The Burton Ranch Project is now at the point where water and wastewater
10 services are needed; however, MHCSD does not have and, despite representations to
11 the contrary, never had, the infrastructure and capacity to provide water and wastewater
12 services to the Burton Ranch Project. As a result of MHCSD's inability to perform, the
13 City brings the instant action for fraud in the inducement/lack of consideration for the
14 Agreement, negligence in implementing the Agreement, rescission due to mutual
15 mistake, rescission in the public interest, and declaratory relief.

II.

PARTIES

18 3. Plaintiff City of Lompoc is a California general law city incorporated
19 within the boundaries of the County of Santa Barbara. The City has the infrastructure
20 and capacity to provide water and wastewater services to its residents and businesses,
21 including those in the Burton Ranch Project.

4. Defendant Mission Hills Community Services District is a public entity
formed and operated pursuant to California Community Services District law to provide
water and wastewater services. The MHCSD boundaries are wholly within the County
of Santa Barbara.

5. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names and capacities of Defendants sued
herein as DOES 1-10 and therefore sues these Defendants by such fictitious names.
Plaintiff will amend this Complaint and allege the true names and capacities of said

ALESHIRE & WYNDER

16

17

Defendants when ascertained. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that
 each of the fictitiously named Defendants is responsible in some manner for the
 occurrences alleged herein and that Plaintiff's damages were proximately caused by
 their actions.

6. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that at all times
mentioned, each of the named DOE Defendants was the agent of some or all of the
other Defendants, and in doing the acts and making the omissions alleged herein was
acting within the course and scope of such agency and with the permission, authority,
or ratification of each such co-defendant. Each Defendant is jointly and severally liable
for the wrongs alleged herein.

III.

THE BURTON RANCH PROJECT

7. The Burton Ranch Project is an approximately 149-acre proposed
residential development bounded by Highway 1/Casmalia Road to the south and west,
Harris Grade Road to the east and the Burton Mesa Ecological Reserve to the north.

8. The Burton Ranch Project is entirely within the County of Santa Barbara.
It was annexed into the incorporated area of the City in 2006 and annexed into the
boundaries of the MHCSD in 2007. The City approved the Specific Plan for the Burton
Ranch Project in 2006 and amended same in 2007 and 2014.

IV.

THE AGREEMENT

9. Because the Burton Ranch Project is subject to overlapping jurisdictions of
the City and MHCSD, the purposes of the Agreement are, *inter alia*, to (a) prevent
unnecessary duplication of water and wastewater services to the Burton Ranch Project,
and (b) prevent confusion and inconvenience to landowners and residents of the Burton
Ranch Project that may occur if questions of respective service areas are not resolved.
///

28 ////

11

12

20

21

01079,0085/573821.2

10. Pursuant to the Agreement, MHCSD was to be the sole provider of water 1 2 and wastewater services to the Burton Ranch Project based on its representations that it 3 had the capacity to do so. V. 4 5 FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FOR FRAUD IN THE INDUCEMENT/ LACK 6 **OF CONSIDERATION** 7 (against Defendant MHCSD) 8 11. The City incorporates paragraphs 1-10, inclusive, as though fully set forth 9 herein. 12. 10 The Agreement states the following: "WHEREAS, DISTRICT has constructed infrastructure and facilities to 11 12 provide sewer and water services to the Defined Services Area; and 13 WHEREAS, under the current Santa Barbara County zoning designation 14 DISTRICT has the necessary capacity to provide sewer and water 15 services to the Defined Services Area..." 16 "1. Recitals Incorporated. The above recitals are true and correct and 17 incorporated herein by this reference." 18 Those representations by MHCSD were, in fact, false, for in May, 2000 13. 19 MHCSD had neither the infrastructure nor the capacity to provide sewer and water 20service to the Burton Ranch Project. Because the primary purpose of the Agreement 21 was to ensure water and wastewater services to the Burton Ranch Project, the 22 misrepresentations of MHCSD constitute a complete lack of consideration by MHCSD. 23 14. On information and belief, in May, 2000, no one knew MHCSD's infrastructure and capacity better than MHCSD, itself, and, at that time, MHCSD either 24 (i) knew it had neither the infrastructure nor the capacity to provide sewer and water 25 26 services to the Burton Ranch Project or (ii) recklessly represented it had such infrastructure and capacity when, in fact, it did not. 27 28 11101079.0085/573821.2 COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, RESCISSION AND DECLARATORY RELIEF

In May, 2000, MHCSD, in consideration for the City entering into the
 Agreement, intended the City to rely on its representations regarding infrastructure and
 capacity in entering into the Agreement, so City would not develop and use its own
 infrastructure and capacity to provide sewer and water services to the Burton Ranch
 Project.

6 16. The City reasonably relied on MHCSD's representations in that MHCSD
7 was in the business of providing sewer and water services and, therefore, should know
8 its own infrastructure and capacity to do so.

9 17. On or about in 2019, the Burton Ranch Project was at the point of moving
10 forward where water and wastewater services were needed. The City learned at this
11 time that MHCSD does not have and, despite representations to the contrary, never had,
12 the infrastructure and capacity to provide water and wastewater services to the Burton
13 Ranch Project.

14 18. As a result of MHCSD's failure to provide consideration for the 15 Agreement, much needed housing will likely not be provided due to the additional costs 16 MHCSD may seek to impose on the developer of the Burton Ranch Project to pay for, 17 *inter* alia, the necessary planning and design of infrastructure to provide water and 18 wastewater service to the Burton Ranch Project---infrastructure that MHCSD 19 represented was already in place in 2000. That in turn will add to the State of 20California's housing crisis. The City will suffer damages due to that lack of housing 21 through loss of direct and indirect tax revenues, as well the increased housing shortage. 22 Those damages will continue to accrue until the Burton Ranch Project is provided 23 financially feasible water and wastewater services.

19. MHCSD's representations, which the City relied on to grant the land
use entitlements necessary for the Burton Ranch Project. were a substantial factor in
the City entering into the Agreement.

27 ||///

28 ////

01079.0085/573821.2

1 VI. 2 SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION FOR NEGLIGENCE 3 (against Defendant MHCSD) 20. The City incorporates paragraphs 1-19, inclusive, as though fully set forth 4 5 herein. 21. In May, 2000, MHCSD made the false representations set forth in 6 7 Paragraph 12, above. 8 22. On information and belief, if MHCSD honestly believed such representations to be true, then MHCSD had no studies, data, or other information 9 constituting reasonable grounds for believing the representations to be true in May, 102000 or to have adequate water and wastewater capacity to service the Burton Ranch 11 Project in 2019 when the Burton Ranch Project was in need of water and wastewater 12 13 services. 14 23. In May, 2000, MHCSD intended the City rely on its representations 15 regarding infrastructure and capacity so City would not develop and use its own 16 infrastructure and capacity to provide sewer and water services to the Burton Ranch 17 Project. 18 24. The City reasonably relied on MHCSD's representations in that MHCSD 19 was in the business of providing sewer and water services and, therefore, should know 20its own infrastructure and capacity to do so. 21 25. On or about in 2019, the Burton Ranch Project was at the point of moving forward where water and wastewater services were needed. The City learned at this 22

time that MHCSD does not have and, despite representations to the contrary, never had,
the infrastructure and capacity to provide water and wastewater services to the Burton
Ranch Project. Accordingly, in implementing the Agreement, MHCSH negligently
breached its duty to provide water and wastewater services to the Burton Ranch Project
at the time it was needed.

28 ////

01079.0085/573821.2

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, RESCISSION AND DECLARATORY RELIEF

1 26. As a result of MHCSD's misrepresentations and failure to implement the 2 Agreement, much needed housing will likely not be provided due to the additional costs 3 MHCSD will impose on the developer of the Burton Ranch Project to pay for, *inter* alia, the necessary planning and design of infrastructure to provide water and 4 5 wastewater service to the Burton Ranch Project---infrastructure that MHCSD represented was already in place in 2000. That in turn will add to the State of б California's housing crisis. The City will suffer damages due to that lack of housing 7 8 through loss of direct and indirect tax revenues, as well the increased housing shortage. 9 Those damages will continue to accrue until the Burton Ranch Project is provided 10 financially feasible water and wastewater services.

27. MHCSD's representations, which the City relied on to grant the land use
entitlements necessary for the Burton Ranch Project. were a substantial factor in the
City entering into the Agreement.

VII.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION FOR RESCISSION

(Mutual Mistake)

(against Defendant MHCSD)

18 28. The City incorporates Paragraphs 1-27, inclusive, as though fully set forth
19 herein.

20 29. On information and belief, in May, 2000, MHCSD was mistaken as to the
21 fact that it had sufficient infrastructure and capacity to provide water and wastewater
22 services to the Burton Ranch Project, which was a material term of the Agreement.

30. In May, 2000, the City was mistaken as to the fact MHCSD had sufficient
infrastructure and capacity to provide water and wastewater services to the Burton
Ranch Project and, but for this mistake, the City would not have consented to the
Agreement or approved the land use entitlements for the Burton Ranch Project.
///

28 || / / /

14

15

16

17

01079.0085/573821.2

31. There are no plain, adequate or speedy legal remedies that would provide 1 2 complete relief to the City. Pursuant to Civil Code section 1692, the City is entitled to 3 complete relief upon rescission of the Agreement. 4 VIII. 5 FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR RESCISSION 6 (Public Interest) 7 (against Defendant MHCSD) 8 32. The City incorporates Paragraphs 1-31, inclusive, as though fully set forth 9 herein. 33. 10The Burton Ranch Project is over nineteen years in the making, with many 11 public and private entities devoting substantial resources to providing over 400 muchneeded housing units in California. 12 13 Now, with commencement of construction of the Burton Ranch Project 34. 14 being imminent, it would be inequitable and against the public interest for MHCSD to 15 hold the Burton Ranch Project hostage to MHCSD's current inability to provide water 16 and wastewater services. 1735. Accordingly, if MHCSD cannot, or will not, provide the requisite services, 18then the court should rescind the Agreement pursuant to Civil Code subdivision 19 1689(b)(6), thereby allowing the City to provide them. 2036. There are no plain, adequate or speedy legal remedies that would provide 21 complete relief to the City. Pursuant to Civil Code section 1692, the City is entitled to 22 complete relief upon rescission of the Agreement. 23 IX. 24 FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF 25 (against Defendant MHCSD and DOE Defendants) 26 37. The City incorporates Paragraphs 1-36, inclusive, as though fully set forth 27 herein. 28 III01079.0085/573821.2 COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, RESCISSION AND DECLARATORY RELIEF

38. An actual controversy exists between the City and Defendants regarding 2 water and wastewater services to be provided to the Burton Ranch Project.

1

26

01079.0085/573821.2

í

3 39. At the time of the Agreement, the City did not have the capacity to provide 4 water and wastewater services to the Burton Ranch Project and, in order to allow for the 5 development to proceed, entered into the Agreement with MHCSD based on MHCSD's 6 representations that it could and would provide such services.

7 40. MHCSD was not, in fact, able to provide water and wastewater services, 8 and is currently unable to do so. On the other hand, during the almost two-decades 9 since the City and MHCSD entered into the Agreement, the City has developed the 10 capacity to provide such services and the ability to quickly develop the infrastructure to 11 deliver them at a financially feasible cost to the developer of the Burton Ranch Project. 12 The City currently provides, and will provide, all other municipal services to the Burton 13 Ranch Project, but without financially feasible water and wastewater services, the 14 Burton Ranch Project is simply not viable. The purpose of the Agreement has been 15 frustrated by MHCSD's failure to perform and the City can no longer stand idly by 16 while the Burton Ranch Project remains stalled due to MHCSD failure to provide the 17 financially feasible services it agreed to provide nineteen years ago on a "time is of the essence" basis. 18

19 41. On information and belief, MHCSD contends that the Agreement remains 20valid and it has sole jurisdiction to provide water and waste water services to the Burton 21 Ranch Project.

22 42. Accordingly, the City, both in its capacity as a governmental entity 23 obligated to ensure the availability of governmental services and in its capacity as a 24 party to the Agreement, seeks a declaration of its rights and remedies as to providing 25 water and wastewater services to the Burton Ranch Project. 1

WHEREFORE, the City prays as follows:

27 1. For compensatory, consequential and incidental damages according to 28proof at trial;

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES, RESCISSION AND DECLARATORY RELIEF

	1	2. For r	For rescission of the Agreement;		
	2	3. For a declaration the City may proceed to provide water and			
	3	wastewater services to the Burton Ranch Project;			
	4	4. For r	For reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to contract and according to		
	5	proof;			
	б	5. For r	For reasonable costs of suit; and,		
	7	6. For s	For such other and further relief as the court deems just and proper.		
	8				
	9	DATED: July 1, 3	2020 ALESHIRE & WYNDER, LLP JEFF M. MALAWY		
	10		STEPHEN R. ONSTOT		
,X83	11		MICHELLE E. SASSANO		
HAN HAN	12		,		
Ħ	13		By: At R. C. meth		
N. KE	14		STEPHEN R. ONSTOT		
	15		Attorneys for Plaintiff City of Lompoc		
	16				
N.	17				
	18				
	19				
	20				
	21				
	22				
	23				
	24				
	25				
	26				
	27				
	28		·		
	ŀ	01079.0085/573821.2	-10- LAINT FOR DAMAGES, RESCISSION AND DECLARATORY RELIEF		
	i I	COMP	LAINT FOR DAMAORS, RESUISSION AND DECLARATORY RELIEF		

EXHIBIT A

,

ANNEXATION AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF LOMPOC AND THE MISSION HILLS COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT

· , , ,

This Agreement is made on ______ 2000, by and between the Mission Hills Community Services District, hereinafter referred to as "DISTRICT" and the City of Lompoc, hereinafter referred to as "CITY", jointly referred to as "Parties".

RECITALS

WHEREAS, the DISTRICT is a Community Services DISTRICT which was formed in 1979 to provide essential utility services to residents and properties within its geographical boundaries; and

WHEREAS, the CITY is a general law CITY incorporated in 1888 and provides local municipal services to residents and properties within its geographical boundaries; and

WHEREAS, the purposes of this Agreement between the DISTRICT and the CITY are to:

- 1. Implement an understanding between the respective parties agreeing to mutually defined service areas for providing water and sewer services;
- 2. Prevent unnecessary duplication of services and facilities if development occurs;
- 3. Remove and avoid issues of potential contention between both agencies; and
- 4. Prevent confusion and inconvenience to landowners and residents that may occur if questions of respective service areas are not resolved; and

WHEREAS, attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and incorporated herein by this reference is a map showing a portion of DISTRICT's and CITY's respective Spheres of Influence and geographical boundaries at the time of execution of this Agreement. The triangular area within DISTRICT's Sphere of Influence bounded by Lompoc Casmalia Road to the west, Harris Grade Road to the east, and DISTRICT's Sphere of Influence boundary to the north, shall constitute the defined service area (hereinafter the "Defined Service Area"), and, exclusive of the property already within DISTRICT's geographical boundaries, is the subject of this Agreement. The Defined Service Area is also shown in Exhibit "A"; and

WHEREAS, DISTRICT has constructed infrastructure and facilities to provide sewer and water services to the Defined Service Area; and

WHEREAS, under the current Santa Barbara County zoning designation DISTRICT has the necessary capacity to provide sewer and water services to the Defined Service Area; and

WHEREAS, the CITY has been asked by the property owners to annex certain properties which are within the Defined Service Area and are currently within the DISTRICT's geographical boundaries and/or Sphere of Influence; and

· · · · · ·

WHEREAS, CITY and DISTRICT concur that the DISTRICT is the logical provider of sewer and water services to the Defined Service Area and that CITY and DISTRICT will proceed contemporaneously with the requested annexation; and

WHEREAS, by this Agreement the parties intend to set forth their respective duties, rights and obligations with regard to annexation of and services to be provided to properties within the Defined Service Area.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual obligations set forth herein, the DISTRICT and CITY agree as follows:

- 1. <u>Recitals Incorporated</u> The above recitals are true and correct and incorporated herein by this reference.
- 2. <u>Application</u> The parties are prepared to apply contemporaneously to the Santa Barbara Local Agency Commission, (LAFCO), for annexation of the Defined Service Area into each respective party's boundaries and shall receive all necessary approvals for such annexation on terms and conditions generally acceptable to both parties which acceptance shall not be unreasonably withheld.
- 3. <u>Pre-Zoning</u> CITY shall pre-zone the Defined Service Area primarily for residential and limited neighborhood commercial use. The CITY proposes to zone the Defined Service Area at a residential density no greater than the current Santa Barbara County zoning designation of DR-4.6.
- 4. <u>Mapped Boundaries</u> Attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference are "Exhibit B" showing the DISTRICT's Sphere of Influence at the time of execution of this Agreement and "Exhibit C" showing the CITY's Sphere of Influence at the time of execution of this Agreement.
- 5. <u>Water/Sewer Services</u> The DISTRICT shall have sole jurisdiction over the provision of water and sewer services to the Defined Service Area and shall be entitled to all fees and charges associated therewith, including but not limited to connection fees and charges, service fees and charges, availability fees and charges, and basic monthly water and sewer fees and charges. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the parties agree that the CITY may, in its sole discretion, require developer participation in a zero impact toilet retrofit rebate program so as to benefit present and futureDISTRICT customers.

ŕ

£.

6. <u>Other Municipal Services</u> - Nothing in this Agreement shall limit the CITY's ability to provide for other municipal services, including but not limited to fire and police protection, street construction and maintenance, and solid waste services within the Defined Service Area.

1 . . .

- 7. <u>District Ordinances, Resolutions, Rules and Regulations</u> All properties within the Defined Service Area shall be subject to applicable DISTRICT water/sewer ordinances, resolutions, rules, and regulations. The CITY shall be notified in writing in advance of any changes in applicable DISTRICT ordinances, resolutions, rules, and regulations.
- 8. Notification of Requests for Annexation Either party shall promptly notify the other party whenever any property owner within the Defined Service Area requests annexation of such property.
- 9. Land Use Development Decisions Nothing in this Agreement shall be deemed to imply in any respect that any particular land or lands within the CITY or the DISTRICT or their respective Spheres of Influence shall be permitted to develop to a use other than currently exists.
- 10. <u>Development Approval</u> Water and sewer service components of development or improvement plans for all projects within the defined service area shall be submitted to the DISTRICT for review and approval prior to final approval by the CITY Planning Commission, and shall be subject to all applicable DISTRICT ordinances, rules, regulations, and resolutions.
- 11. <u>Water Quality</u> The DISTRICT will not approve any development which has wastewater that would hinder the DISTRICT's compliance with the DISTRICT's Regional Water Quality Control Board discharge permit. The DISTRICT may at its discretion continue to enforce appropriate DISTRICT ordinances to prohibit any selfregenerating water softeners or water treatment units that discharge a brine solution into the DISTRICT's wastewater collection system. The DISTRICT shall require that appropriate wastewater discharge prohibitions are included in each development in the Defined Service Area before granting development approval.
- 12. <u>Water Rights</u> The CITY will neither drill nor operate a water well north of the Lompoc Casmalia Road and Purisima Road, nor contract for water services with anyone other than the DISTRICT in the Defined Service Area, unless the DISTRICT approves an exception. The DISTRICT will retain all water rights and claims to water rights within its Sphere of Influence.

4

13. Indemnity - The CITY agrees that it shall indemnify, defend, protect and hold the DISTRICT, its employees, agents, officers, and directors harmless from and against any claims, actions, suits, proceedings, judgments, losses, costs, liabilities (including, without limitation, sums paid in settlement of claims), deficiencies, fines, penalties, or expenses (including, without limitation, reasonable attorneys', experts' and consultants' fees, investigation and laboratory fees, court costs and litigation expenses) caused by acts or omissions of the CITY arising out of its obligations under this Agreement, and all services provided by CITY to the Defined Service Area, and/or the negligence or willful misconduct of CITY, its employees, agents, and officers.

DISTRICT agrees that it shall indemnify, defend, protect and hold the CITY, its employees, agents, officers, and officials harmless from and against any claims, actions, suits, proceedings, judgments, losses, costs, liabilities (including, without limitation, sums paid in settlement of claims), deficiencies, fines, penalties, or expenses (including, without limitation, reasonable attorneys', experts' and consultants' fees, investigation and laboratory fees, court costs and litigation expenses) caused by acts or omissions of the DISTRICT arising out of its obligations under this Agreement, any and all services provided by DISTRICT to the Defined Service Area, and/or the negligence or willful misconduct of DISTRICT, its employees, agents, and officers.

- 14. <u>No Merger Contemplated</u> This Agreement is not intended and should not be construed to be an initial step toward a merger or consolidation of CITY and DISTRICT.
- 15. <u>No Waiver Implied</u> The waiver of any breach by either party of any provision of the Agreement shall not constitute a continuing waiver or a waiver of any subsequent breach of this Agreement.
- 16. <u>Assignment Prohibited</u> This Agreement is specifically not assignable to any person or entity. Any assignment or attempt to assign is void and is a material breach of this Agreement unless agreed to in writing by both parties.
- 17. <u>Binding on Successors</u> This Agreement shall be binding on and shall inure to the benefit of the heirs, executors, administrators, and successors in interest of the parties hereto, but nothing in this section shall be construed as a consent to any assignment of this Agreement or assignment of any interest in this Agreement.
- 18. <u>Attorneys' Fees</u> In the event of any controversy, claim, or dispute between the parties hereto arising out of or relating to this Agreement or the breach thereof, the prevailing party shall be entitled, in addition to other such relief as may be granted, to a reasonable sum as and for attorneys' fees and costs.

*

19. Notices - Except as otherwise expressly provided by law, any and all notices or other communications required or permitted by this Agreement or by law to be served on or given to either party to this Agreement shall be in writing and shall be deemed fully served and given when personally delivered or, in lieu of such personal service, when deposited in the United States Postal Service, first-class postage prepaid, to the following address for each respective party:

• • •

. .

DISTRICT:	Mission Hills Community Services District Attn:DISTRICT Manager 1550 East Burton Mesa Boulevard Lompoc, CA 93436
CITY:	City of Lompoc Attention: City Administrator 100 Civic Center Plaza Lompoc, CA 93436

- 20. <u>Severability</u> Should any provision of this Agreement be held by a court of competent jurisdiction or by a legislative or rule making act to be either invalid, void or unenforceable, the remaining provisions of this Agreement shall remain in full force and effect, unimpaired by the holding, legislation or rule.
- 21. <u>Sole Agreement</u> This Agreement constitutes the sole and entire agreement between the parties with respect to the subject matter hereof. This Agreement correctly sets forth the obligations of the parties hereto to each other as of the date of this Agreement. All agreements or representations respecting the subject matter of this Agreement not expressly set forth or referred to in this Agreement are null and void.
- 22. <u>Time of Essence</u> Time is expressly declared to be of the essence in this Agreement. The parties agree that in the event that California statutes conflict with this Agreement, the statutes shall prevail over the provisions of the Agreement.
- 23. <u>Authority</u> The parties hereby represent that the individuals executing this Agreement are expressly authorized to do so on and in behalf of the parties.
- 24. Legal Review The parties agree that each has had an opportunity to have their counsel review this Agreement and that any rule of construction to the effect that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting party shall not apply in the interpretation of this Agreement or any amendments or exhibits thereto. The captions of the sections are for convenience and reference only, and are not intended to be construed to define or limit the provisions to which they relate.
- 25. Notification Amendments to this Agreement shall be in writing and shall be made

ċ

- 25. <u>Notification</u> Amendments to this Agreement shall be in writing and shall be made only with the mutual written consent of all of the parties to this Agreement.
- 26. <u>Copy to LAFCO</u> A copy of this executed Agreement shall be sent to the Santa Barbara Local Agency Formation Commission.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have executed this Agreement as of the date first above written.

CITY OF LOMPOC a California Municipal Corporation By: Mayor

16/00 Date:

а. н. 42 н. н. а.

ATTEST City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM

By City Attorney

MISSION HILLS COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT, a California Community Services DISTRICT

By: declares. Colora and and President, Board of Directors 5-18-Date: 00

ATTES Secretary of the Board

APPROVED AS TO FORM

By District Counsel





